

STATE OF MINNESOTA IN SUPREME COURT A23-0360

Deborah Jane Clapp,

Respondent,

VS.

Rochelle Cox, in her official capacity as Interim Superintendent of Minneapolis Public Schools, et al.,

Petitioners.

ORDER

Based upon all the files, records, and proceedings herein,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The petition of Rochelle Cox, in her official capacity as Interim Superintendent of Minneapolis Public Schools, et al., for further review of the decision of the court of appeals is granted. The petitioners shall proceed as the appellants, and briefs shall be served and filed in the quantity, form and within the time limitations contained in Minn. R. Civ. App. P. 131 and 132. Counsel will be notified later of the date and time for argument before this court.

- 2. The motion of Teamsters Local No. 320 and the Saint Paul Police Federation to serve and file a joint brief as amici curiae in the above-entitled matter in support of petitioners is granted.
- 3. The motion of Minnesota Police and Peace Officers Association, Law Enforcement Labor Services, Inc., Hennepin County Sheriff's Deputies Association, and Ramsey County Deputy Sheriff's Federation to serve and file a joint brief as amici curiae in the above-entitled matter in support of petitioners is granted.
- 4. The motion of the Minnesota School Boards Association to serve and file a brief as amicus curiae in the above-entitled matter in support of petitioners is granted.
- 5. The motion of Minnesota Public Employer Labor Relations Association to serve and file a brief as amicus curiae in the above-entitled matter in support of petitioners is granted.
- 6. The motion of Education Minnesota, the Minneapolis Federation of Teachers, Local 59, the Minnesota Elementary School Principals' Association, and the Minnesota Association of Secondary School Principals to serve and file a joint brief as amici curiae in the above-entitled matter in support of petitioners is granted.
- 7. The briefs of amici shall be served and filed in accordance with Minn. R. Civ. App. P. 129 and 132.01. Amici will not be permitted to participate in oral argument. All amici are reminded that the principle espoused in Rule 37(1) of the Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States is applicable in this court as well:

An amicus curiae brief that brings to the attention of the Court relevant matter not already brought to its attention by the parties may be of

considerable help to the Court. An *amicus curiae* brief that does not serve this purpose burdens the Court, and its filing is not favored.

Amici are therefore encouraged to coordinate their efforts to avoid redundant briefing.

Dated: February 28, 2024 BY THE COURT:

Natalie E. Hudson

Natilie E. Hulson

Chief Justice