

From: Pittella, Mark (USMS)
Sent: Tue, 18 Apr 2023 17:36:39 +0000
To: Davis, Ronald (USMS)
Subject: RE: Protection Details

Good afternoon Director. Copy on your detail sir and I will work with JSD on achieving the [redacted]
[redacted] Thanks

Mark Pittella
Associate Director for Operations
[redacted] (Cell)

From: Davis, Ronald (USMS) [redacted]@usms.doj.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 18, 2023 1:34 PM
To: Pittella, Mark (USMS) [redacted]@usms.doj.gov>
Subject: Re: Protection Details

ADO,
Let's keep my detail [redacted] (including the TDY) and stay [redacted] Let's work
on achieving [redacted]

Thank you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 17, 2023, at 5:54 PM, Pittella, Mark (USMS) [redacted]@usms.doj.gov> wrote:

Good evening Director. I asked some specific questions of JSD related to the chart they provided earlier today regarding our protection details and the number of personnel. Please let me know if you would like additional information regarding the numbers provided to each of the details. Thank you and have a nice evening.

1. If the [redacted] and Dr. Fauci all have full portal to portal details, why

[redacted]

1. [redacted]

2. However, the actual number that makes up the detail depends [redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

(b)(7)(E) While we would like to remain consistent on the details, the number of personnel we are able to assign (b)(7)(E)
(b)(7)(E)

3. For Fauci, the number of deputies requested at the time of the HHS reimbursable agreement was (b)(7)(E). This request was based on the (b)(7)(E)
(b)(7)(E) Since Fauci is a reimbursable agreement, the request for (b)(7)(E) deputies was agreed upon and paid for by HHS. JSD requested (b)(7)(E) to ensure the agency was funded for the appropriate number (b)(7)(E)

4. Regarding the number of personnel assigned to the (b)(7)(E) the allotted number of positions for the detail is (b)(7)(E). JSD would prefer to get (b)(7)(E)
(b)(7)(E) and that level of support would provide adequate coverage (b)(7)(E)
(b)(7)(E)

5. The number of deputies proposed for the Director's detail is (b)(7)(E). Currently, there are (b)(7)(E) assigned to his protection detail, totaling (b)(7)(E). With no changes to the posture, the total number assigned to fully staff the detail would be (b)(7)(E) personnel. With the Director's request to (b)(7)(E)
(b)(7)(E) it has been proposed that the Director's detail be staffed-up to (b)(7)(E) personnel, (b)(7)(E)
(b)(7)(E) Because this detail is staffed by JSD personnel, JSD would prefer to (b)(7)(E)
(b)(7)(E)

2. (b)(7)(E)

1. (b)(7)(E)

2. (b)(7)(E)

3. (b)(7)(E) only has (b)(7)(E) DUSMs assigned to his detail. Is that enough DUSMs to properly provide security?

1. Yes, (b)(7)(E)

2. This is the recommended number of personnel for this detail type based on JSDs protective detail model.

3. This posture correlates with (b)(7)(E)

4. Overall there is disparity among the details with similar levels of protection, as it pertains to DUSMs assigned to each of those details? Why?

1. The disparity is that we are not staffing the special details permanently.

2. (b)(7)(E)

3. This is what causes the disparity in numbers.

4. While JSD attempts to equally use resources so that each detail has a similar security posture across the board, without permanent personnel assigned, the numbers will continue to fluctuate.

Mark Pittella
Associate Director for Operations

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (Cell)